Eurosif Member Affiliates

ALFI Association of the Luxembourg Fund Industry
Altedia Investment Consulting
Amnesty International
APG
APRONIS – Inter Expansion
ASSET4
Aviva Investors
AXA Investment Managers
BankInvest
Bank Sarasin & Co. Ltd
BlueOrchard
BNP Paribas Asset Management
CA Cheuvreux
Caisse des Dépôts
Calvert
Carbon Disclosure Project
Centre Info
CM-CIC Asset Management
Crédit Agricole Asset Management CAAM
Deutsche Asset Management
Dexia Asset Management
Economistas sin Fronteras
ECPI
EIRIS
ESADE University
Ethix SRI Advisors AB
Ethos Foundation
Etica Sgr
F&B Asset Management
FEBEA
Fédération des Experts Comptables Européens
Forética
Fortis Investments
FTSE Group
Fundación Ecologia y Desarrollo
Generation Investment Management LLP
GES Investment Services
Good Bankers Co., Ltd.
Greenpeace
Groupama Asset Management
Henderson Global Investors
Highland Good Steward Management
HSBC
INrate AG
Insight Investment
KBC Asset Management
KLD Research & Analytics, Inc.
KPMG
Limestone Investment Management
Living Planet Management Company
Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch
MACIF Gestion
Manifest Information Services
Meeschaert Gestion Privée
Mercer
Natixis Asset Management
Oddo Securities
oekom research AG
OFI Asset Management
Oikocredit
OXFAM GB
PhilTrust Active Investors
Pictet Asset Management SA
Pioneer Investments
responsAbility
RiskMetrics Group
Robeco
SAM
Schroders
SEIU Capital Stewardship
SNS Asset Management
Société Générale Asset Management
Standard Life Investments
Sustainable World Capital
Sustainalytics
Threadneedle Asset Management Ltd.
Triodos Bank
Trucost
UBS
Vigeo
VINIS
West LB
WWF

National SIFs in Europe

Belsif*, Belgium
Dansif, Denmark
Forum Nachhaltige Geldanlagen*, Austria, Germany, Switzerland
Forum per la Finanza Sostenibile*, Italy
Forum pour l’Investissement Responsable*, France
Spainsif, Spain
Swesif, Sweden
UKSIF, the sustainable investment and finance association*, UK
VBDO (Vereniging van Beleggers voor Duurzame Ontwikkeling)*, The Netherlands

*Member of Eurosif Board
We extend our thanks to AXA Investment Managers, Bank Sarasin, Robeco and SAM for their sponsorship of this first-ever study of the European investment consultants and responsible investment market. We are also grateful to our Advisory Board who helped with questionnaire development, dissemination to a wide group of investment consultants and input for this study. Our substantial base of Member Affiliates and the National Sustainable Investment Forums (SIFs) provided insight as well and helped with questionnaire development, dissemination to a wide group of investment consultants and input for this study. Our

The views in this document do not necessarily represent the views of all Eurosif member affiliates. This publication should not be taken as financial advice or seen as an endorsement of any particular company, organisation or individual. While we have sought to ensure that this information is correct at time of print, Eurosif does not accept liability for any errors.

© Eurosif

All rights reserved. It is not permitted to reproduce this content (electronic, photocopy or other means) without the explicit and written permission of Eurosif.
Few people predicted the financial crisis that has shaken the global economy. Its impact continues to be seen among policy makers seeking new regulatory frameworks to prevent a repeat occurrence; in the labour market where many individuals will have to work longer than expected prior to their retirement; and within the financial services sector itself where investors grapple more than ever with the proper tools to manage risk while meeting the expectations of beneficiaries.

As the post-crisis environment takes shape, we are left wondering what will change.

In the financial services sector where Eurosif is focused, investors are increasingly seeking ways to incorporate environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues and opportunities more effectively into fund management. These ‘responsible investors’ (RI) find a changing environment where the traditional rules and methodologies must be updated in order to better fit with their future needs. The particular focus of this research is appropriately the investment consultancy profession - as investment consultants across Europe are increasingly being asked by investors to offer advisory services, the potential ‘gatekeeping’ role that they play towards the development of responsible investment has become more obvious. If mainstream investment consultants are not able to meet the demand for responsible investment advice, it may constitute an obstacle to its development.

Within Eurosif’s mission to address sustainability through financial markets, it made therefore perfect sense to study the investment consultancy segment within the chain of financial service providers to form a clearer picture of current dynamics and trends around ESG advisory services for investors. By benchmarking experiences and identifying good practices, this study will help investment consultants better understand how to integrate responsible investment criteria into their consultancy offerings. Whether you are a consultant yourself, an investor or an interested sustainable investment actor, we believe the Investment Consultants and Responsible Investment Study will better clarify this dynamic space.

Matt Christensen
Executive Director
Eurosif

Giuseppe van der Helm
President
Eurosif
FOREWORDS FROM OUR SPONSORS

AXA Investment Managers

As the debate about sustainability of financial markets deepens, Eurosif are to be congratulated for this timely study which AXA IM has co-sponsored as part of our long-term commitment and leadership in the RI field.

As a large European fund manager (€503 billion in AUM as at 30/09/09), we understand the importance of investment consultants to our business success. A benefit of being a multi expertise investment house operating in global markets is that we also have the ability to aggregate information from a wide range of sources so we can identify and understand trends in their earliest stages.

On the subject of ESG, it is clear that interest is growing; what is not so clear is when (the time has now passed when we use the word “yet”) ESG investing will become a core part of client demand?

Strategic market data is but one part of the picture as illustrated by the story of two shoe manufacturers who undertook assessments of the African market – they agreed about the reality that many did not wear shoes but their decisions were very different. One rapidly expanded its business (Bata) and the other closed its fledging office.

So does this study answer our question? As the (true) story illustrates, you will need to read it to come to your own conclusions!

Dominique CARREL-BILLIARD
Chief Executive Officer

Robeco

Robeco is one of the sponsors of this Eurosif Investment Consultant survey. We decided to participate because we feel it is of great importance to have sustainable items included in investment decisions. We believe that the consultant community is key to this development to gain further momentum for the asset management sector as a whole. The survey will explain in more detail.

Robeco was established in The Netherlands in 1929 and offers investment products and services to institutional and private investors worldwide. Today, we manage €127 billion in assets for our clients (Sept 2009).

Sustainability has been on Robeco’s agenda since the late 1990s and has become one of the key drivers behind many developments.

• With respect to corporate developments, we have been a signatory of UNPRI since 2006. In addition, we raised our sustainability profile significantly by taking over Zürich-based SAM, a highly reputed asset manager whose strategies are dominated by sustainability factors. Also, we closely cooperate with our parent company Rabobank Group, who by nature have a strong edge in this specific field.

• We can offer our clients a wide range of investment strategies that take sustainability factors into account. Finally, a separate voting and engagement strategy is offered.

In closing, I can highly recommend the Eurosif Survey and would be more than happy to take up any further discussions on this crucial topic.

Roderick Munsters
CEO Robeco Group

Bank Sarasin

As the original pioneer of today’s industry’s gold standard, the “best-in-class” investment approach, Bank Sarasin remains the leading asset manager of sustainable investment strategies. Over the past 20 years we have demonstrated to institutional and private investors, the financial benefits of taking environmental, social and governance issues into account when making investment decisions.

With increasing numbers of sustainable strategies, asset classes and products, it is becoming even more difficult for institutional investors to remain updated on developments. Consultants screening the financial offerings in the market place therefore play an important role. As investment styles and products, as well as the number of players in the market continue to proliferate, this role becomes even more important.

This study clearly shows that there remain a number of information gaps. But we strongly believe that there is a need for sustainability asset managers and consultants to strengthen their dialogue and to broaden the understanding of each other in order to close those gaps – for the benefit of all investors. From our point of view this study is an important step in this process.

Joachim H. Strähle
Chief Executive Officer

SAM

We would like to thank Eurosif for its initiative in conducting and publishing this study highlighting the increasing interest among institutional investors for sustainability investing.

Investment consultants play a key role in assessing how the integration of ESG factors into investment approaches generates value for institutional investors. As one of the industry leaders in this area, we have focused on integrating material ESG factors into our proprietary valuation methodology to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns for our institutional client base, since our establishment in 1995.

One of our key conclusions from the study is that investment consultants and their clients still require more robust information on the link between ESG factors and investment performance. SAM has been recognized by the UN PRI as a leader in ESG integration according to “Principle 1” in the most recent UN PRI Report on Progress. As such, we look forward to playing a role as a discussion partner on this topic.

As an investment boutique focused exclusively on Sustainability Investing, we remain strongly committed to working with the consultant community to share our knowledge of sustainability investing, and driving research efforts aimed at further shedding light on the impact of ESG integration on investment performance.

Sander van Eijkern
Chief Executive Officer
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The use of consultants is growing for asset owners across the EU: 60% of European institutional investors are now utilising consultancy services. Environmental, social and governance (ESG) matters are a part of this trend as they begin to form a piece of the investment consultants’ agenda due to growing investor demand.

Demand for ESG services is being driven by three different types of asset owners. Corporate pension funds are frequently the primary client for investment consultant services and their interests around ESG issues tend to be related to positive selection through thematic and best-in-class investment approaches. Public pension funds are often the most advanced in their demands which encompass all responsible investment (RI) styles. Finally, family offices/high net worth individuals and foundations/charities form some of the most progressive clients of investment consultancies offering ESG services.

89% of consultants anticipate an increase of clients’ interest in ESG matters in the next three years.

This demand is projected to grow. 89% of consultants anticipate an increase of clients’ interest in ESG matters in the next three years. The main reasons cited for this view were related to branding / reputation needs of investors and the evolution of fiduciary duty being consistent with ESG integration.

Positive selection is the approach considered by investment consultants to have the most beneficial impact on performance, whether according to sustainable themes or through a best-in-class approach. As a result, this is the approach that consultants advise on the most. Investors seek the most advice on environmental issues, followed closely by social and governance issues. The study also shows that there still exist a number of pre-conceived notions on performance and RI approaches which are not necessarily based on first-hand experience.

The development of ESG services by investment consultants is still very much based on explicit demand from clients. Interestingly, there is room for a number of different ESG product offerings due to the varied demands of investors. Clients currently seek counsel initially for how to incorporate RI into their investment strategies. The next two areas of demand were in mandate design and investment policy. Other services also mentioned by respondents included fund selection as well as selection of external research providers.

This study shows that service development relating to RI is a recent phenomenon amongst investment consultants but growing quickly. One noted trend uncovered by the survey is the emergence of boutique firms that are focused completely on RI advice. The fact that some dominant consultancy firms did not participate in the survey reflects the early stage of adoption of ESG issues in these firms. One reason for this is that larger consulting firms still have sufficient business revenues from other services, and as can be the case with new areas, it takes time for the opportunity to be clearly understood. For a majority of the responding consultants, RI counsel represents between 2-10% of their time, which albeit small, is significant as it was non-existent only a few years ago. Service development will remain a key facet to monitor as it is not yet clear whether newer or established firms will best respond to the growing demand for ESG advisory services.

Barriers certainly exist in preventing consultants from quickly developing their ESG service offerings and key among them is the need for greater education and training. Additionally, asset owners need to be more explicit about responsible investment by clearly stating their beliefs on ESG issues and RI. Finally an improved regulatory environment where pension funds were required to state whether or not they take ESG considerations into account in their investment practices would be a significant change; at the time of print for this study, the European Commission is assessing whether this type of legislation could be helpful as a part of the harmonisation of financial markets in a post-crisis environment.

Sustainable and responsible investment is a concept that continues to evolve, where both veteran and new players alike debate the semantics and definitions in this field. The term “social”, “ethical”, “responsible”, “socially responsible” and “sustainable” are all used in a multitude of overlapping and competing ways. Nevertheless, the constant in this area is that most sustainable and responsible investors are concerned with long-term investment, and environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues are important criteria in determining long-term investment performance.

**Responsible Investment (RI)** is the current terminology often used by institutional investors and remains most connected to the mainstream financial community. As such, this is the term that Eurosif will use throughout this report. For clarity, the terms SRI, sustainable investment or ethical investment are often used interchangeably with responsible investment, and they usually refer to similar activities.

What is a responsible investor? Responsible investors take into consideration the long-term influence of extra-financial factors such as environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues in their investment and governance decision-making. They integrate ESG factors with traditional financial analysis in their portfolio analysis and engagement activity.

Examples of responsible investment approaches include positive selection, whether it is according to sustainable themes or through a best-in-class approach, integration of ESG factors into investment decision-making, the exclusion of stock/bonds in a portfolio, and engagement, a long-term process of dialogue with companies which seeks to influence company behaviour in relation to their ESG practices.

Thus, the Investment Consultants & Responsible Investment Study was conducted for two specific reasons:

- To better understand the demand drivers for investment consultant services overall and in particular ESG, as the investment consultants role in the financial services chain is growing in importance;
- To determine the current offerings for investors who seek advice and guidance in responsible investment services as demand from institutional investors for counsel on responsible investment is projected to continue and even to accelerate.

**Consultants as increasingly critical “gatekeepers” in the financial services chain**

Institutional investors extensively utilise the services of investment consultants for their activities, and their use has been increasing in recent years. The institutional investors market with the Investment Pensions Europe (IPE) annual survey shows that approximately 60% of the institutional investor market currently utilise their services.

Investment consultants play a significant role in shaping investors’ strategies, investment practices and asset manager selections; to a certain extent they have become one of the key gatekeepers within the financial industry as illustrated in Figure 1. Investment consultants provide advice to their clients on investment strategy and policy, asset allocations, mandate design, and risk management. On behalf of their clients, they may also select the fund managers, provide benchmarking and evaluation of their performance. For this they use financial, and increasingly, ESG information.

While a handful of investment consultants have units which are pro-active in helping some of their clients to develop responsible investment strategies, one of the aims of this study is to see what all the others are doing. Are they developing tools and offering services to help their clients integrate ESG issues? Are they pro-actively raising those issues with their clients, or do they address them only based on client demand?

**1 Why look at investment consultants & responsible investment?**

“It is important that consultants change from an “actuarial” culture to a culture of leadership where consultants help their clients identify and manage long-term strategic challenges - ESG will then automatically appear on their radar-screens.”

Ivo Knoepfel, onValues Ltd.

The quotation above serves as a reminder of the significant role that investment consultants play or could play in the future in their work with clients on ESG matters.

---

A growing interest in responsible investment and ESG issues from investors

There is a growing view among investment professionals that ESG issues can affect the performance of investment portfolios. Investors fulfilling their fiduciary duty therefore need to provide appropriate consideration of these issues. In a recent survey of institutional investors conducted by IPE, around 70% of the respondents said it was trustees' fiduciary duty to include ESG matters in their decision-making process and during manager selection processes. The legal research conducted by Freshfields has highlighted that taking account of the risks and opportunities from financially material ESG factors by asset owners is legally possible since it is in the best interests of fund beneficiaries; even more importantly, the research suggests that not taking such factors into account is a breach of fiduciary duty.

This increasing interest in RI is also due to the fact that investors have become more aware of the impact of ESG issues, such as climate change, on financial performance over the long-term. For instance, the French Reserve Fund (FRR – Fonds de Réserve pour les Retraites) stated in its Responsible Investment Strategy “Environmental concerns and, in particular, the impact of global warming on the world economy and its various sectors pose numerous questions that a long-term investor cannot afford to ignore when determining its global investment strategy.”

In addition, certain types of investors such as foundations and charities are increasingly seeking to align their investment practices with their underlying mission or long-term vision.

As another example of this growing interest in responsible investment, the number of signatories to the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) continues to rise (+45% between 2008 & 2009) and reached 637 in October 2009, representing over $18 trillion as illustrated in Figure 2. The PRI convened in 2005 by UNEP FI and the UN Global Compact, was established as a framework to help investors achieve better long-term investment returns and sustainable markets through improved analysis of ESG issues in the investment process and the exercise of responsible ownership practices.

FIGURE 1

Investment Consultants: Gatekeepers within the Financial Services Chain

Source: Eurosif

FIGURE 2

Growth in PRI signatories and AuM

Source: PRI, 2009

2 Methodology

There has not yet been a comprehensive, European-wide study on how investment consultancies regard and to which extent they integrate ESG issues into their offerings. The Boston College Institute for Responsible Investment conducted a roundtable in April 2009 showing that a fully developed discipline of responsible investment consulting has yet to emerge, and the UNEP FI Asset Management Working Group (AMWG) conducted an initial investment consultant survey in 2008. The UNEP FI study analyses the responses of six large consultants with respect to their ESG practices.

This Eurosif study has concentrated on the top ten European consultancy markets which account for over 70% of the total EU market: Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland and UK. A few Scandinavian consultants were also contacted. This approach, while not allowing for a reach of the entire European investment consultants

---

3 Responsible Investment Strategy ratified by the PRI’s Supervisory Board on April 15, 2008. See www.unpri.org for more details.
5 Published in July 2009 as Part II of the “Fiduciary responsibility” report. www.unepfi.org.
community, was deemed to be more time and cost effective while covering an important portion of the existing European investment consulting market. Eurosif approached over 300 consultancy firms, including various local offices of global consultancy firms.

A survey of 30 questions was developed by Eurosif with the Advisory Board’s input and building on the UNEP FI AMWG initial investment consultant survey. The online survey was distributed by email between July and September 2009. A series of follow up phone interviews was conducted for clarification and research for case studies. The return rate on the questionnaire was about 16% with just under 50 responses in total. This in itself is an interesting result: 84% of the investment consultants chose not to respond to Eurosif’s survey, including some dominant local, but also global, consultancy firms. While this might be partly due to questionnaire fatigue, it also reveals a split in the consultancy market, with those firms that have developed expertise in responsible investment and those that show no interest at this point in responsible investment and ESG issues.

While readers should keep in mind that most respondents are either already involved in responsible investment or interested by the topic, we can also assume that the survey responses encompass all the consultancy firms offering services in responsible investment. When possible, differences according to countries are highlighted in the report but these should be taken with caution given the relatively small size of country samples.

### Profile of the responding consultants

**Geographic origin and reach**

29% of the survey’s respondents come from the UK, 18% from the Netherlands, followed equally by France, Switzerland and Germany at 11%. Figure 3 compares the nationality of the surveyed consultants with the breakdown of existing investment consultants by country (in terms of number of players) as listed by IPE in March 2009. The UK, Netherlands and Germany have the most concentrated percentage of consultants and this is reflected to a certain extent in the survey.

In terms of reach, 40% of the surveyed consultants have a national reach, one-third service clients globally and the remainder (27%) focus on the European market. The consultant market is still quite local, with the necessity for consultants to respond to country specificities. This raises the question as to how firms with a global reach are able to tailor their offer at a local level and the degree to which they can standardise their services across all markets, including services on responsible investment.

→ see case study of Mercer page 19

### Size of investment consultancy firms

The total of overall assets under advisement of the surveyed consultants is €3.4 trillion, with an average per respondent close to €100 billion. Figure 4 shows the distribution of the respondents in terms of size. A majority of responding firms corresponds to the €10 billion – €100 billion of assets under advisement range; this is particularly true for the case of Dutch and Swiss consultancy firms, while the French firms tend to be primarily in the range of €100 million – €1 billion. The chart also shows several large firms with over €1 trillion of assets under advisement.

---

9 IPE special issue on Europe’s Pension Consultants, March 2009

11 The full questionnaire is available on www.eurosif.org/publications/investment_consultants_ri

12 See credit page at the end of the report for a list of responding consultants.
Their clients

Surveyed consultants were asked to rank their different types of clients by order of revenue importance. As shown in Figure 5, corporate pension funds emerge clearly as the primary type of client followed by public pension funds and insurance companies. Interestingly, the corporate pension funds are a primary client for investment consultant services although they are traditionally not as ‘advanced’ on ESG issues as are the public pension funds. Family offices/high net worth individuals and foundations/charities are close behind and the study found that they can be some of the more progressive clients in their ESG demands.

When reviewing these results country by country, the following differences emerge: for the Swiss respondents, high net worth individuals (HNWIs) and family offices are predominant, followed by corporate pension funds. The most important clients of French consultants are insurance companies followed by public pension funds, NGOs and religious institutions – the importance of corporate pension funds is quite minimal. The other countries follow a breakdown somewhat similar to the European trends shown in Figure 5.

Anecdotes through phone interviews provided further insights about the by-products of servicing different clients. Generally speaking, servicing different types of clients allows investment consultants to develop a diverse range of services which ultimately may be of use to each particular segment.

→ see Swiss boutiques case study page 14

Being a signatory to the PRI

28% of surveyed consultants are signatories to the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI). As for reasons why the remaining 72% are not signatories, respondents mentioned lack of awareness, lack of resources to do so and lack of priority. A few did say that their signature was under consideration.

A global consultant explained: “At the time of the PRI launch, our decision was to wait and see what would happen and avoid sending an intentional or non-intentional signal to our clients, as with any type of similar initiative. We are now weighing the cost / benefit with becoming a signatory: there is a time and financial commitment of being associated with the PRI; and as of now our clients (corporate pension funds) aren’t asking us to be a signatory.”

> VARIOUS RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT STRATEGIES AVAILABLE:

**Best-in-Class:** A subset of positive selection where the leading companies with regards to ESG criteria from each individual sector or industry group are identified and included in the portfolio.

**Engagement:** A long-term process of dialogue with companies which seeks to influence company behaviour in relation to their environmental, social, and governance practices.

**Exclusion:** An approach that excludes given sectors or companies from a fund if involved in certain activities based on specific criteria, such as arms manufacturing, breach of international norms, tobacco, animal testing, etc.

**Integration of ESG factors:** The explicit inclusion by investment managers of ESG risks and opportunities into traditional financial analysis. Corporate Governance risk should be limited here to the interface between Governance and Social and Environmental issues.

**Positive selection:** The selection, within a given investment universe, of stocks/bonds of companies/issuers that perform best against a defined set of ESG criteria. This may include Best-in-Class or selection according to sustainable themes.

**Positive selection according to sustainable themes:** Thematic funds may focus on sectors such as water or energy, or issues such as the transition to sustainable development and a low carbon economy.

→ For more details, see Eurosif’s “European SRI Study 2008” at www.eurosif.org.
II. ISSUES, APPROACHES AND ROLE OF CONSULTANTS

1. Investment Consultants’ human resources devoted to RI

A recent phenomenon

Figure 6 shows the evolution over time of service development relating to responsible investment. This can be broken down into the following stages:

- From 1988 to 2001: investment consultants started to develop responsible investment services sporadically and on a small scale. Many of these pioneering firms were based in the UK, consistent with the history of SRI development in Europe.
- Following the 2001/2002 dotcom crash and series of scandals (Enron, Parmalat, Tyco), and through 2004, counsel on responsible investment was increasingly developed, especially in continental Europe. This was due to an increase in demand for investment advice taking into consideration issues such as corporate governance and environmental impacts.
- Between 2005 and 2007, there was significant interest from investors in positive screening focused on sustainable themes, encouraging new services from investment consultants. A few of the responsible investment specialised boutiques were founded during this stage, betting on an emerging trend and market potential.
- Since 2008, the development of responsible investment services has slowed, with the financial crisis described as the culprit. It is nonetheless encouraging to see that new offerings are still being planned for 2010.

FIGURE 6

Year firm started consulting on RI

Consultants’ time devoted to responsible investment

When looking at the investment consultants’ time devoted to responsible investment as shown in Figure 7, there are two findings to note: first, almost 20% of the respondents are focused 100% on responsible investment advice, establishing themselves as highly specialised boutiques—these types of firms are most often seen in Switzerland, the Netherlands and the UK.

FIGURE 7

Investment consultants’ time devoted to Responsible Investment

On the other hand, the majority of the respondents devote between 2% - 10% of their consultants’ time to responsible investment; this is particularly true for Germany (60% of the German consultants are in this range) and the Netherlands (50%). See case study of Faros Consulting page 23

These figures highlight that responsible investment is still of relatively small significance to the investment consultants’ overall business. Nevertheless, as the field of responsible investment itself is still in its earliest stages, the limited time spent currently servicing client requests on ESG matters still needs to be compared with being next to nil just a few years ago. Additionally, the significance of responsible investment within the fund management profession is expected to increase steadily as we will see further in the report.

Figure 8 reveals that there is no clear correlation between the size of the firms and the amount of time devoted to responsible investment – what it shows though is that for the largest firms (over €1 trillion), the consultants’ time devoted to responsible investment falls below 2%.

FIGURE 8

No clear link between implication to RI and size of firms
Size of responsible investment teams

"Consultants should hire more people with professional ESG backgrounds and provide independent judgements: more focus on quality in investment strategies instead of historic quantitative data." Floris Lambrechtsen, Double Dividend B.V.

The size of the investment consultants’ teams devoted to responsible investment is usually small, fluctuating between less than 1 and 3 full-time equivalents (FTEs), with the majority of cases less than 1/2 full-time equivalent as illustrated in Figure 9. This raises the question as to how experienced and trained consultants are, or can become, if responsible investment is only one part of their regular job. Alternatively, one potential outcome over time could be that ESG experts are assigned to work with one part of their regular job. Alternatively, one potential outcome

FIGURE 9
Size of consultancy teams devoted to Responsible Investment

2 Consultants' perception about RI

Surveyed consultants were asked how, in their view, the various responsible investment approaches affect performance. As illustrated in Figure 10, positive selection is the approach considered to have the most beneficial impact, whether according to sustainable themes (74%) or via a best-in-class approach (66%). Exclusion is the approach seen as having potentially the largest negative impact. The survey also asked respondents whether their answer was based on

FIGURE 10
In your view, how do the following RI approaches affect performance?

– hence the importance of a thorough assessment of responsible investment providers and their processes, both
financial and sustainable. A recent report featuring influential academic studies and a diverse set of studies from renowned brokerage firms analysed responsible investment performance at both the company/stock and fund/portfolio level. Of the 20 academic studies reviewed in the report, there was evidence of a positive relationship between ESG factors and portfolio performance in half of these, with seven studies reporting a neutral effect while three showed a negative association.13

Consultants were also asked how appropriate each responsible investment approach is with regard to their clients, as shown in Figure 11. Integration is the approach considered the most appropriate (always appropriate for 51% of respondents), followed by positive selection according to a sustainable theme (47%). It is worth noting in particular that more than half of the respondents still think that they have no role in pushing ESG approaches as it depends on the nature of the client and their specific demand.

FIGURE 11
How appropriate do you think the following types of RI approaches are with regards to your different clients?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>% of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integration of ESG factors</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive selection according to sustainable themes</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive selection of stocks / bonds (best-in-class)</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive selection of voting rights</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive selection of engagement</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of voting rights</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of engagement</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of exclusion of stocks / bonds in a portfolio</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Eurosif Investment Consultants & Responsible Investment Survey, 2009

3 | Consultants’ approach and RI offering with their clients

Proactive vs. passive approach with clients

63% of the surveyed consultants indicated that they systematically and proactively raise the issue of responsible investment when meeting with their clients. This is particularly true for Swiss, French and British consultants but much less so for German ones. This overall figure is rather encouraging although it is not quite consistent with other results of the survey showing that clients’ demand remains the main driver for consultants to offer responsible investment services. 24% of respondents said that they raise the issue only when clients ask about it and 2% indicated that they refer interested clients to specialist advisers (11% did not respond).

According to a legal opinion expressed in the UNEP FI fiduciary report mentioned previously,14 Quayle Watchman Consulting clarifies that institutional investment consultants and asset managers have a professional duty of care to proactively raise ESG considerations with their clients and cautions that failure to do so may have serious consequences: “In tendering for investment mandates, it would be expected that the investment consultant or asset manager would raise ESG considerations as an issue to be taken into account and discussed with the client even if the pension fund had not specified ESG considerations as material to the tender. If the investment consultant or asset manager fails to do so, there is a very real risk that they will be sued for negligence on the ground that they failed to discharge their professional duty of care to the client by failing to raise and take into account ESG considerations.”

At least one surveyed consultant indicated that they did not share that specific conclusion of the UNEP FI report above and even indicated that it was “slightly irresponsible” to push the idea that consultants have a professional duty to proactively raise ESG considerations with their clients based only on one legal opinion. In Eurosif’s phone interviews, consultants argued that there are often situations in which clients such as pension funds find themselves seeking to wind down, in financial difficulty or about to go through massive strategic changes; in those cases, responsible investment was not seen as a priority for the consultant.

The means most often used by consultants to promote responsible investment included noting ESG issues as a regular agenda item in their meetings with clients (54%), training sessions (46%), followed by ongoing information regarding market developments (39%) and conferences on ESG issues (31%). Other suggestions by respondents included research publications, briefings of senior management, and involvement in a responsible investment trade association / forum.

Type of RI advice needed by clients

Responsible investment is particularly relevant for their clients when it comes to investment strategy, followed by mandate design and investment policy as shown in Figure 12.

CASE STUDY

SWISS BOUTIQUE FIRMS 100% SPECIALISED IN RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT
CONSER INVEST (Geneva) and ONVALUES LTD (Zurich)

Background
OnValues is based in Zurich and was founded in 2002 while Conser Invest, located in Geneva, was started in 2007. After many years working for a large Swiss institutional investor and then an asset manager specialised in sustainability, onValues’ founder, Ivo Knoepfel, realised that asset owners needed independent advice to articulate their own needs in terms of responsible investment and to check the quality of sustainable asset managers and their funds. Similarly, Angela de Wolff, co-founder of Conser Invest, had worked several years in a private bank and also identified a need for an independent intermediary between the RI providers and the asset owners willing to develop a responsible investment strategy/approach. According to her, the range of RI products had become sufficiently sophisticated to justify the need for independent counsel in selecting them.

100% RI Boutiques
Both firms are relatively small (3 consultants each) and are 100% specialised in sustainable investment. Both firms consider their independence as a competitive advantage. For instance, even though onValues could have grown significantly, its founders chose to keep the organisation small and flexible to remain independent (“if the firm starts growing there is a risk of being under pressure to accept any type of work which could compromise our independence”). On the other hand, Conser Invest expects the firm to develop as demand for recognised experts in this field is growing.

Both firms service a variety of clients, including pension funds, family offices and foundations. onValues also occasionally services governmental organisations and various SRI initiatives/forums while Conser Invest also services financial institutions/banks for training and development of SRI solutions and supports wealth managers for sustainable portfolio construction.

onValues: the variety of clients compels the firms to be innovative
This diversity of clients has forced onValues to develop a wide range of expertise in sustainability and to remain innovative. This is particularly the case when working with some family offices who would like all of their assets to be managed sustainably, entailing counsel on investment strategy, asset allocation, due diligence, screening, etc. Family offices are often interested in green property, private equity or hedge funds for instance, while foundations show more of an interest in microfinance or mission-related investing. Usually pension funds concentrate on index strategies. The resulting know-how developed by onValues, often well in advance of their peers, can then be of use to all of their clients.

Conser Invest: rigorous approach through proprietary tools and a strong network
Conser Invest has developed original tools enabling the investor to align its sustainability objectives with its investment decisions. Conser has also been instrumental in the development of “Sustainable Finance Geneva” whose mission is to promote sustainability and responsibility within the Geneva financial community. The objective of this involvement is of course to raise awareness but also to network, challenge, learn from others and increase visibility.

Biggest challenge
For onValues, the challenge comes from a rapidly changing environment: “It can be challenging for the consultant to keep track of everything: many people are changing positions, many new funds get launched (how serious are they? how long will they last?): it is still a time of testing and quite a nervous industry.” Generally speaking, it can also be challenging to attract good investment professionals as the business model of investment consultancy may not always be considered to be the most attractive.

For Conser Invest, the challenge is to increase awareness and understanding that responsible investment goes beyond ethical considerations and is about taking into account long term considerations. “A lot of energy and education is needed to reach a common level ground with our clients on what sustainable and responsible investment is and why it makes sense. The lack of standards and common terminology in this field are still a weakness.”

Asset allocation strategy appears rather less important. Other services mentioned by the respondents included fund selection and selection of external research providers. → see case study of Altedia Investment Consulting page 16

These results can be compared to the IPE pension fund survey previously mentioned which shows, in a similar way, that investors use consultants primarily for investment advice (58%), followed by asset allocation (55%) and investment manager selection (39%).

The implication is that ESG matters are held at the broad strategic level while implementation of specific aspects of RI policy into the portfolio can be more challenging. A recent strategic level while implementation of specific aspects of RI policy into the portfolio can be more challenging. A recent study conducted by the French reserve fund FRX highlights the need to consider the integration of environmental issues across all facets of investment decisions. One of the study’s findings is that environmental challenges should be integrated by institutional investors into various levels of its investment policy including strategic asset allocation, selections made within asset classes, management styles, reporting and assessment. In all of these areas, consultants could have a role in guiding and shaping investor knowledge.

Anecdotes collected through the phone interviews indicate that large pension funds tend to already have a strong RI strategy in place and therefore, their need is greater for counsel on mandate design and manager selection. Conversely, the smaller schemes, frequently in the earlier RI stages, require counsel on investment policy, strategy development and education/training. Foundations & charities tend to be more inclined towards greening their investment or developing an exclusion policy.

Advice on E, S or G?

According to the survey, investors seek the most advice on environmental issues, followed closely by social and governance issues. Climate change tends to be the issue of greatest concern for clients. In France, social issues emerge on top; whereas in Germany & Switzerland, governance issues appear in second position, and social issues in third. Undoubtedly, the specific ESG issues vary from country to country, and even within the same borders, there are differing views on appropriate responses to client demands.

On a country-by-country basis, the following differences emerge: mandate design is less considered in Switzerland while 100% of Dutch consultants think it fits with their client needs; investment policy is barely considered in France and ESG in asset allocation strategy is the most favoured by Swiss and German consultants.

On top; whereas in Germany & Switzerland, governance issues appear in second position, and social issues in third. Undoubtedly, the specific ESG issues vary from country to country, and even within the same borders, there are differing views on appropriate responses to client demands.

For example, one consultant in the Netherlands (Ger Goris, Goris & Partners) indicated that it is difficult to know what advice to provide around ESG issues. “It requires a broader discussion and there is seldom an easy and practical solution for the investor. For instance, if you wish to exclude cluster bombs from your portfolio – how does one do this when practicing index investing? And where should the line be drawn? Should suppliers of parts that make up cluster bombs be excluded too?” As a result, Ger Goris would very much like to see an industry-wide set of rules/guidelines (developed by international bodies such as the UN for instance) on how to go about this.

Undoubtedly, the specific ESG issues vary from country to country, and even within the same borders, there are differing views on appropriate responses to client demands.

This also shows that advice on ESG issues represents a great opportunity for the investment consultants to assist the investors because the advice required is nuanced and subject to thoughtful analytical insights.

Where do consultants source ESG research?

Consultants source research on ESG issues both internally (72%) and to a lesser extent through external ESG research providers (59%). The external providers can be extra-financial rating agencies, brokers, industry associations, public research, etc. It is interesting to note that one of the responding consultancy firms partly owns an ESG research provider. As a matter of fact, the boundary between an ESG research provider and an investment consultant can sometimes become blurry as some ESG research providers also provide investment counsel to their clients.
Altedia Investment Consulting (AIC) is a French firm with a European reach, with a team of 7 investment consultants all concerned by ESG issues (partners included) and devoting on average 50% of their time to responsible investment. The development of counsel on RI was motivated by several factors: 1) values of the firm’s owners, Altedia Group/Adecco, who are deeply involved in social and HR services 2) a strong demand from the firm’s main clients (employees saving plans and corporate pension funds) through the employee representatives and 3) strong convictions from the firm’s partners on this subject. Their responsible investment consulting activity began in 2004 with 2 large clients, one needing counsel to develop its RI policy and another which was interested in testing out a few SRI funds. The firm decided then to develop and structure this knowledge and allocate resources to develop a database of SRI fund managers and of SRI funds.

Competitive advantage
AIC has an extensive SRI research database with track records, a variety of clients (public and corporate pension funds), flexibility and the partners’ willingness to invest resources in this field. Dedicated partnerships with clients or investment solutions providers (e.g. creation of the first index of SRI funds) and expertise developed either in equity or bonds (long term and money market).

Manager's measuring tool
AIC Research provides a constant overview of the global SRI market assessing over 80 fund managers in the world and nearly 350 SRI funds in all asset classes. The tool rates asset managers’ expertise in SRI (AAA to C) and the SRI funds (aaa to b) according to organisation and quality of research (both internal and external), as well as the level of proficiency of the SRI team, investment process and quality of reporting. The research is qualitative and past performance is not directly taken into account in the rating process. Each ranking comes with a briefing note and is discussed at quarterly meetings.

Biggest challenge
According to one of Altedia’s managing partners Christel Bapt, the biggest challenge is “to have institutional investors go from a discourse of 'We believe in responsible investment, it is fantastic’ to the point where this is really translated into their investment strategy, policy and implementation. We need more champions on these subjects”.

Type of RI approaches most in demand

"Watson Wyatt would challenge the perception that there is just one way to implement sustainable and responsible investment. We see various manifestations and it means different things to different clients but the key issue is protecting and enhancing shareholder value. Whilst being an active owner might be right for one client, allocating capital to sustainable themes might be right for another and doing nothing could also be appropriate in some circumstances. As a consultant you need to be sensitive to your client’s needs.” Jane Goodland, Watson Wyatt Worldwide Inc.

As introduced in the report, there are multiple responsible investment strategies available to investors, which can be combined. As illustrated in Figure 13, positive selection is the type of responsible investment strategy which is the most advised on by the surveyed consultants, both according to sustainable themes (77%) or via a best-in-class approach (72%). Integration comes next, closely followed by exclusion. Finally, counsel on engagement and voting rights is offered by less than half of consultants.

A closer look at the data reveals some interesting differences at the country level: Swiss consultants rarely provide advice on the exercise of engagement; only a few French consultants offer counsel on exclusion; and in Germany, consultants seldom discuss integration of ESG factors. These are consistent with the country differences in terms of SRI practices highlighted in Eurosif’s 2008 European SRI Study.19 One of the issues highlighted by some consultants around engagement/voting is that these were often areas where legal advice/counsel was more appropriate and/or there was not a direct connection to performance.

Perhaps the most interesting conclusion one may draw from Figure 13 is that it mirrors the findings from Figure 10 showing that consultants view positive selection as the approach most likely to affect performance. Figures 10 and 13 taken together would suggest that both consultants and asset owners are most comfortable with this RI approach and therefore, it represents the highest demand for related ESG advisory services.

4 Consultants’ role in evaluating asset managers

Figure 14 details the practices of consultants when it comes to responsible investment.

FIGURE 13

Where, in your view, does RI fit with regards to your clients needs? (multiple answers possible)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RI Strategy</th>
<th>% of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive selection according to sustainable themes</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive selection (best-in-class)</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of ESG factors</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion of stocks / bonds</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of engagement</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of voting rights</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Eurosif Investment Consultants & Responsible Investment Survey, 2009

A closer look at the data reveals some interesting differences at the country level: Swiss consultants rarely provide advice on the exercise of engagement; only a few French consultants offer counsel on exclusion; and in Germany, consultants seldom discuss integration of ESG factors. These are consistent with the country differences in terms of SRI practices highlighted in Eurosif’s 2008 European SRI Study. One of the issues highlighted by some consultants around engagement/voting is that these were often areas where legal advice/counsel was more appropriate and/or there was not a direct connection to performance.

FIGURE 14

Consultants’ role in evaluating asset managers

When launching RFPs, do you include questions on RI?

Do you evaluate an asset manager’s abilities to incorporate ESG factors?

Do you investigate the proxy voting and engagement record of fund managers concerning ESG issues?

Source: Eurosif Investment Consultants & Responsible Investment Survey, 2009

For more details on responsible investment practices across Europe, see Eurosif’s “European SRI Market Study 2008”. 

Figure 14 shows that there is still a split between RI and mainstream investing. For example, 42% of the Requests for Proposal (RFP’s) include questions on RI only when they are specific RI mandates.

On a more positive note, 36% of the consultants evaluate the fund manager’s ability to incorporate ESG as a routine part of their assessment of fund manager competence;

the criteria they use in doing so are detailed in Figure 15. Lastly, many consultants seem to be less inclined to investigate the proxy voting and engagement records of asset managers concerning ESG issues. This highlights that active ownership practices are not something closely looked at by most of the consultants. One of the exceptions comes from Watson Wyatt Worldwide which has developed a manager monitoring service called “Active Ownership Watch”.21

Criteria used to evaluate managers’ competence in RI

Figure 15 provides an analysis of the criteria used by consultants to evaluate competence of asset managers when it comes to responsible investment. 97% of respondents look at the research capacity and publications of the fund managers, 91% at the staff experience and 81% assess whether there is evidence of systematic consideration of material ESG issues in investment decisions. Some consultants have developed sophisticated tools to measure the competence of fund managers regarding ESG issues. 22

FIGURE 15

What criteria do you use to evaluate competence in RI?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>% of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research capacity and publications</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff experience</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of systematic consideration of material ESG issues in investment decisions</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of reporting</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence of ESG integration policy</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signatory and/or member in responsible investor networks</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent to which ESG competence apply to all asset classes</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Eureval Investment Consultants & Responsible Investment Survey, 2009

---

21 It might also be due to the fact that in Europe proxy fights around environmental and social issues remain rare (as opposed to the US where it is increasing).

22 Although contact with managers, the firm assesses their ownership policies, procedures, resources, voting and engagement activity and reporting systems. This observation tool highlights areas of strength, weakness and also any inconsistency between managers used by the pension fund, enabling trustees to identify areas for improvement.

23 Consultants looking to develop such a tool could use as reference the “Evaluation Template – How to be a responsible pension fund” developed by USS (Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited) and available on their website: www.uuss.co.uk/USSInvestments/ResponsibleInvestments.
MERCER – A GLOBAL FIRM WITH AN RI SPECIALIST TEAM

Mercer is a global firm for trusted HR and related financial advice, products and services. Mercer investment consulting business represents about 6.5% of the firm revenue with more than 800 staff around the world. The firm began to propose consulting services related to responsible investment in 2004. The RI team almost doubled in the last two years and is currently made up of about 15 full time equivalents; the RI specialists are spread out in various regional offices (of which 5 are covering Europe and based in London).

Dissemination of RI expertise within the firm
The firm has a matrix system of specialist teams such as responsible investment, custodian, transition management, fund governance and specialist asset class fund research, etc. that provide the most up-to-date research and advice in these areas. This is then disseminated to “generalist teams” who have day to day management of client work. There are numerous channels of communication and information exchanges (RI is included in regular training sessions with the consultants; featured in frequent internal “hot topics” and is a fixed agenda item at the firm’s frequent technical internal business meetings) so that the knowledge and expertise are shared with the generalist consultants. These RI specialists work closely with their consultant colleagues who have developed local expertise and a relationship with clients. Depending on the clients, the language and the local consultant involved (i.e. on his/her own level of expertise on responsible investment), the RI specialist may or may not meet directly with the clients.

Manager research tool to consider ESG factors
In May 2008, Mercer evolved its manager research process to evaluate the extent to which fund managers pro-actively integrate ESG factors into their mainstream investment process. In particular, the research process now considers fund manager practices at the strategy level rather than purely taking a view of the firm’s overall stance towards RI and ESG integration at the organisation wide level.

The research process categorises managers into 4 groups – ESG1 (the highest rating managers) to ESG4 (the lowest rating managers). For a strategy to be assigned an ESG1 rating, the investment team must have demonstrated market-leading capabilities in integrating ESG and active ownership into 1) the way they generate investment ideas, 2) the way they construct portfolios, 3) implementation of their active ownership practices (through voting and engagement) and 4) the degree of firm-wide commitment to ESG issues. At the bottom end of the scale, an ESG4 rated manager is considered to be lagging across all of these issues with little indication of potential change to improve practices in either ESG integration or active ownership practices. Mercer’s approach is to look for an indication that efforts have been made to integrate ESG into what fund managers do in their alpha generation process and also in beta enhancement through behaving as long-term responsible investors. As of April 2009, 15 strategies had been assigned the highest ratings – an ESG1 or ESG2 – which cross over regions and asset classes. Whilst practices vary, what most of these managers have in common is that they have:

- A long-term investment horizon and low portfolio turnover, with some (preliminary) thought given to promoting long-term thinking through incentive systems;
- Formulated a policy and/or commitment to ESG integration at the organisation wide level;
- Demonstrated that ESG factors feature in the natural course of the investment teams’ decision making process and corporate culture;
- Made efforts to build in some ESG factors into valuation metrics, using their own judgement about materiality and time frames;
- For listed equities, a voting and engagement policy and process in place that is moving towards being more integrated, transparent and measurable;
- For alternative assets, evidence of pursuing best practice standards on governance, codes of conduct relevant to their asset class, transparency, staying abreast of regulatory changes and engagement on wider issues (such as stakeholder management);
- A demonstrated willingness to collaborate with other institutional investors to redress systemic issues to promote a more sustainable financial market.

More information: www.mercer.com/ri.
III. OBSTACLES

1. Lack of interest / awareness from the consultants

While more and more mainstream investor associations are looking at ESG issues and how they affect company performance, the survey aimed to see whether the investment consultants are aware of these types of publications on the importance of ESG matters and whether they share their conclusions. The survey mentioned two specific and recent publications: 1) the CFA Institute report “Environmental, Social and Governance factors at Listed Companies – a manual for investors” published in May 2008 and 2) UNEP FI report “Fiduciary Responsibility, legal and practical aspects of integrating ESG issues into institutional investment” published in July 2009. Only 33% of the respondents were aware of the first report and 44%, the second: the higher score of the UNEP FI report might be explained by the fact that it had just been released at the time of the survey and was therefore still fresh in their minds. The lower score of the CFA report is surprising given that it provides an excellent business case to investors for taking ESG issues into consideration when analysing companies; it provides consultants with a good background as well as mainstream research on the subject. On the other hand, of the respondents who were aware of these reports, a very large majority (over 90%) shared their conclusions.

Finally, as mentioned at the beginning of this report, it is also quite telling that 84% of the investment consultants contacted by Eurosif did not participate in the survey, including some dominant firms at both a local and global level. This reflects the early stage of adoption of ESG issues by the investment consultant segment.

It would be interesting to see whether those results will remain the same in a similar survey two years from now. 

→ see case study on KPMG page 23

2. Fund management hurdles

According to the surveyed consultants, the most important obstacle that fund managers face is the lack of explicit demand from the investors. The chicken and egg dilemma is a real one: fund managers do not develop enough responsible investment offers due to a lack of demand coming from investors and conversely, investors often complain about the absence of products as well as long track records. To solve this dilemma, the fund management industry often counts on the investment consultants to advocate responsible investment. What this current survey shows clearly is that consultants are mostly offering ESG services only once they have identified an explicit demand from their clients. The chicken and egg dilemma remains.

The second obstacle identified by the consultants is the lack of knowledge and understanding of fund manager staff – something also true from the consultants themselves as seen previously and which could be tackled through the development of ESG training and education.

Many of the issues cited in Figure 16 will be familiar to those who have an interest in the responsible investment field. Predictably, the legal/performance issues are cited as an important hurdle. Other significant obstacles mentioned include resource constraints, incentive misalignment and time horizons. Again, it will be important to note the differences in the views about hurdles in two years when this study is next conducted to see how the issues have evolved.

FIGURE 16

Based on your experience, what prevents fund managers from doing more RI? (in order of importance)

Source: Eurosif Investment Consultants & Responsible Investment Survey, 2009

23 www.cfainstitute.org/centre/topics/esg/
KPMG – CLIENTS ONLY WANT RI WHEN CONNECTED TO FINANCIAL RESULTS

To assess the reasons why some investment consultants show less interest in ESG issues and responsible investment than others, Eurosif conducted a phone interview with a mainstream player who did not respond to the survey: Patrick McCoy, Head of Investment Advisory at KPMG (Pension, Tax & People Services), based in the UK.

Background
KPMG operates as an international network of member firms offering audit, tax and advisory services, with a network of member firms in over 140 countries worldwide. Its UK investment consultancy division employs about 50 consultants. Its client-base is mostly corporate pension funds, a few charities and foundations.

Demand on ESG issues must be connected to financial returns
“For most of our clients, it is impossible to form a view on ESG issues. They only take them into account when they impact the financial performance of their investment. For example, even on the delicate issue of child labour, they do not want to form a view unless it will have an effect on the performance – they shy away from making this type of judgement.” indicated Patrick McCoy.

ESG issues delegated to the fund managers
KPMG does not provide advice on specific ESG issues; rather, KPMG ensures that the fund managers have a clear and sensible policy whereby they will factor in those ESG issues showing an obvious financial impact. KPMG reviews these policies at a high level and checks for evidence that they are being implemented, for example through reviewing the voting policy to see how the voting rights are used.

Legislation around fiduciary duty would need to change for interest to increase
In the UK, legislation around fiduciary duty makes it clear that trustees should only take into consideration issues that will impact the financial performance of the fund. RI approaches (such as exclusion) might generate different (i.e. negative) outcomes in terms of performance. To change clients’ views, legislation around fiduciary duty would need to change. (Patrick McCoy was not aware of the work done around fiduciary duty and ESG issues by UNEP FI and therefore could not comment on them) KPMG has not seen any evidence of increased interest on ESG issues from its client base and does not think this will change in the next 3-5 years.

→ For more information http://rd.kpmg.co.uk
IV. FUTURE TREND

1. A growing demand

As illustrated in Figure 17, between September 2008 and September 2009, 64% of the surveyed consultants saw an increased interest in ESG matters from their clients. This somewhat slowed down with the financial crisis but interestingly, the crisis did not trigger a decrease in interest in ESG advice (only 4% of respondents thought that it did): the financial crisis either increased demand or it has remained the same.

“Different types of clients reacted differently to the financial crisis. The large pension funds had to slow down innovative projects in the responsible investment field – so there has been a stagnation of business coming from them. On the other hand, the crisis was a wake-up call for some family offices which understood much better the value of independent advice and realised that they could not just simply trust the big banks.” Swiss consultant

In addition, consultants remain very confident when it comes to the future trend as 89% of them anticipate an increase of clients' interest in ESG matters in the next three years.25

One Dutch consultant clarified “Responsible investment represents about 3–5% of what we are doing now, but 5 years from now ESG issues will be very strongly addressed, and I expect that it will represent 50% of what we are doing within the firm if we do not have a lot of hiccups like the current one.”

Drivers for clients’ demand

When consultants were asked for the most powerful motivator behind demand for RI services, Figure 18 shows their perception that image/reputation as a ‘responsible asset owner’ is the number one driver. Political and beneficiary pressure are also listed as important factors, again linked to branding and reputation of the asset owner.

Secondly comes the notion that understanding true fiduciary duty means taking into account all risks, including ESG ones which are typically not integrated into traditional financial analysis. A final demand driver is the need for advice on how to implement the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI). These answers are interesting; the focus on reputation may suggest that consultants currently see the interest in ESG issues as compliance-driven.

It is Eurosif’s view that the depth of interest in ESG matters among consultants will only truly be achieved when the primary drivers are understood as business-related.

FIGURE 18

What is the most powerful motivator for your clients to demand consulting services on RI? (ranked by order of importance)

- They want to be branded as being responsible asset owners
- They have pressure from beneficiaries to act
- They have some political pressure to act
- They understand that true fiduciary duty means taking into account all risks, including ESG ones
- They want to know how to implement the PRI
- Other

Source: Eurosif Investment Consultants & Responsible Investment Survey, 2009

Fortunately, regardless of the initial driver, once asset owners have shown interest in responsible investment, they start to think about and clarify their positions on ESG issues. In some cases, external pressure also acts as a means to further implement ESG matters into investment-making decisions and mandates with fund managers. A good illustration of this is the impact the 2007 Zembla television documentary had on Dutch pension funds, resulting in increased development of responsible investment policies.26
That there is a positive trend in the growth for ESG services provided by investment consultants is little in doubt. The unanswerable question at the present time is around ‘how much’ demand. As evidenced through the answers to the survey and the follow-up phone interviews conducted by Eurosif, the investment consultants are adamant about their need for explicit client demand in order for further resources to be allocated to this area. Whereas this survey concretely shows that ESG demand will increase in the coming years, it will be of great interest to assess the actual growth rate when the next study is produced.

“Consultants are highly responsive to client requirements. Greater signals that clients value research on ESG integration, active ownership and interesting product opportunities would be a highly effective driver.” Emma Hunt, Mercer

CASE STUDY

FAROS CONSULTING – A GERMAN FIRM RESPONDING TO RECENT CLIENT DEMAND FOR RI

Background
FAROS Consulting is a consulting firm with 5 consultants and a German-speaking reach (Germany, Austria and German speaking Switzerland). The firm developed sustainable investment services in 2003, representing about 5% of the consultants’ time. Since currently there is not enough business to dedicate someone specifically to sustainable investment, RI services are spread among several consultants, depending on their expertises (matrix structure around asset expertise).

An offer of RI services entirely client-led
The development of RI services is entirely driven by client demand, which is mostly coming from religious institutions (however the firm’s main clients are corporate and public pension funds). As of today, the pension funds have shown little interest for responsible investment. Religious institutions are mostly interested in some form of exclusion (usually weapons but can also include some pharmaceuticals or utilities). They are also interested in thematic investment, but much more from a performance perspective than a sustainability point of view.

Challenge & competitive advantage
According to FAROS Consulting, RI is not really a differentiating selling point for them and would not be for other German consultants either. In addition, RI does not really represent a challenge for the firm as the demand is coming from the client. In terms of ESG research, the firm uses external providers (EIRIS, imug) and interacts with some asset managers with dedicated sustainable investment products.

Perspective for the future
Most of the firm’s clients have other priorities than sustainable investment in the short term, especially with the consequences of the financial crisis. If the market improves, the interest for sustainable investment might increase and the firm could devote more time to it.

V. CONCLUSION

“Everything mankind and business does is ultimately dependent on the earth’s natural resources and bio-sphere. Even with global publicity over their man-made depletion and damage - it is worrying that relatively few businesses, investment consultants and investment firms fully understand the future economic significance of the earth’s natural capital, eco-system services and climate change, and their linkages and relevance to future investment strategies that might deliver sustainable long-term financial returns.”

Howard Pearce, Environment Agency Pension Fund

The quotation above mirrors this survey’s findings that European investment consultants are in the early stages of tackling ESG issues as a part of their counsel and advice to their clients. It also serves as a reminder that investment consultants, along with all actors within the financial service chain, urgently need to further integrate ESG issues into their service offerings.

We see today that demand for ESG services is still new but equally, it is diverse. The client typology ranges from public and corporate pension funds to family offices and charities. As investors delve more deeply into ESG matters over time, client demands will continue to be varied and are poised to grow even more so. Investor demands focus on all aspects of RI: how to incorporate RI into investment strategies, mandate design, investment policy, fund selection and selection of external research providers.

At the moment, the demand for ESG counsel is driven by a mixture of investor reputation, beneficiary pressure and an evolving view on fiduciary duty. All of these drivers create opportunities for ESG advisory services; however, meeting this demand is less straightforward.

Clients need consultants to exhibit a broad and deep understanding of responsible investment that requires ESG competence, financial expertise and strategic skills.

For example, even a seemingly straightforward investment policy of ‘no cluster bombs in a portfolio’ can become a question of nuances when determining how this should be addressed with regards to the supply chain within the portfolio.

As noted in this survey, service development will remain a key facet to monitor as it is not yet clear whether newer or established firms will best respond to the growing ESG demand for services. Certainly there is evidence that some firms are aggressively developing products and services in anticipation for further market growth in the coming years. It remains impressive that 89% of the surveyed consultants anticipate an increase of client interest in ESG matters in the next three years.

Additionally, the study finds that there are a few important barriers that if addressed, will push the ESG advisory market forward even faster than predicted today. First, since consultants are often reactive by nature, the asset owners should consider being more explicit about RI immediately. Frequently, asset owners are not consistent and/or are too passive. There are a few simple steps that asset owners can take to speed up progress. One such step is to clarify their expectations by reviewing their investment policies and beliefs. A recent survey showed that the publication of investment beliefs is still a relatively rare phenomenon. Asset owners should stipulate ESG and active ownership expectations into requests for proposals and investment manager agreements. They should also integrate ESG metrics (and/or ask their consultants to do so) into the way fund manager performance is measured and reviewed.

The second barrier may be more long-term: regulatory change. Many of the surveyed consultants are supportive of an improved regulatory environment that better incorporates ESG issues into the financial services sector. Regulation at the European level which would require the investors to state their investment beliefs, whether or not they take ESG considerations into account in the selection, retention and realisation of investments; and if not, why they choose not to do so, would be a great step forward. Eurosif is actively working with the European Commission on these matters and has published a policy position paper on ESG disclosure as a reference.

Lastly, the survey and the interviews also highlighted a few issues pertaining to the investment consultancy segment that should be watched closely going forward and which might justify specific questions in a follow-up survey two years from now. They are the following:

- Within an investment consulting firm, how is the knowledge of ESG specialists / strategists actually being transferred to client-facing consultants and their advice?
- What will be the successful business model(s) for ESG advisory services? One consultant indicated that their greatest challenge was in providing the proper value for their responsible investment services, i.e. clients are willing to pay a price for it but have trouble evaluating how much it should be. Another consultant indicated
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that they were charging their clients an hourly rate, regardless of clients’ type or size.
• As more consultants develop responsible investment services, how serious will they be? New players are starting to emerge but sometimes with little dedicated resources and in-depth research on ESG issues. Could there be a trend towards more specialised RI consultancy firms?

The growth of responsible investment has been significant over the past 10 years, even when including the dips as well as the rallies of the capital markets. As noted in the survey, in spite of the current crisis, the respondents have indicated that they expect the interest and demand for ESG counsel to increase. What this Eurosif study ultimately shows is that many investment consultants have already started preparing today in advance of tomorrow’s different type of client. They will be the most prepared as ESG risks and opportunities become a normal part of investor interest and client demand.


EuroSif “European SRI Study, 2008”.

FRR Working Document “How should the environment be factored into FRR’s investment policy?”, 2009.


US (Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited) “Evaluation Template – How to be a responsible pension fund”.
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