





INVESTOR STATEMENT OF SUPPORT FOR AN AMBITIOUS AND EFFECTIVE EUROPEAN DIRECTIVE ON CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY DUE DILIGENCE

24 November 2022

The Principles for Responsible Investment, Eurosif, Investor Alliance for Human Rights¹, and 142 undersigned signatories (representing approximately 1.5 trillion USD in AUM) welcome the European Commission's <u>proposal</u> for a directive on corporate sustainability due diligence (CSDD) and its aim to anchor human rights and environmental considerations in companies' operations and corporate governance.

The CSDD proposal moves beyond the disclosure requirements under the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), to mandate financial and non-financial companies to carry out effective due diligence, set climate targets and transition plans, and to link executive remuneration with sustainability performance. This will be a transformative step forward to ensure that economic activities tied to the EU single market are conducted in a responsible manner.

However, improvements are needed to ensure a positive impact throughout the value chain, increase coherence with the EU sustainable finance framework, and to enable investors to better manage their own exposure to sustainability issues. In this statement we raise five key recommendations for EU colegislators to create an ambitious and effective directive, for both financial and non-financial companies, aligned with EU sustainability goals, the EU sustainable finance framework, and international standards including the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.

1. Require robust, ongoing due diligence from financial and non-financial companies, throughout the value chain

Carrying out effective due diligence, in line with international standards, helps investors to better manage financial risks, more effectively and proactively manage ESG impacts, and align their activities with the evolving demands of beneficiaries and clients. Some investor due diligence-related requirements already exist in EU legislation. For example, under the SFDR, investors have to disclose information relating to the aspects of their due diligence practices for certain investment activities, and under the 2021 delegated acts, AIFMs and UCITS must consider sustainability risks and "principle adverse impacts" (if they are considered under SFDR) when ensuring a "high level of diligence in the selection and ongoing monitoring of investments". However, none of these pieces of legislation mandate comprehensive investor due diligence, in line with the UNGPs and OECD Guidelines.

The CSDD proposal offers an opportunity to complement the existing EU legislation by filling in the gaps. To that end, investors call on the EU co-legislators to amend the due diligence obligations for financial undertakings to include ongoing assessments which cover the entire value chain, accompanied by guidance clarifying how different financial undertakings should interpret their responsibilities. This requires amending the EU Commission's proposal which currently limits financial undertakings' due diligence obligations to a pre-service assessment, and furthermore considers only the activities of the clients receiving the investment² provided those clients are not SMEs. Responsible investors are committed to carrying out ongoing due diligence, throughout the value chain, and this should be reflected in the CSDD. This will create a level-playing field and enable investors to better manage the ESG risks and impacts of their investments.

Finally, co-legislators must also ensure the requirement for non-financial companies to conduct due diligence throughout the value chain remains intact. In both cases, accountability and penalties should be based on the concepts of "causing", "contributing" and "linked to" as laid out in the UNGPs.

¹ This letter was developed in collaboration with a number of PRI, Eurosif and IAHR members, but does not necessarily represent the views of the entire membership, either individually or collectively.

 $^{^2}$ The activities of other companies belonging to the same group are also considered if they are linked to the contract in question.

2. Broaden the personal scope of EU financial and non-financial companies under the directive, in line with the CSRD

The scope of the Commission's proposal is insufficient and could pose risks and challenges for investors. Sustainability risks and adverse impacts, if not identified and not addressed, may lead to widespread and profound financial impacts on companies and their operations notwithstanding their size. It is also increasingly important, given the urgency of the climate transition, that more companies set climate targets and implement effective transition plans.

Co-legislators should introduce a clear timeline for increasing the scope of financial and non-financial companies subject to the CSDD, which is <u>sequenced in line with the CSRD</u>. This would bring the CSDD into closer alignment with international guidelines, complement the disclosure obligations under CSRD and the narrative timeframe would bring clarity and certainty to other undertakings. Requirements should be proportionate to the capacity of the undertaking as clarified in the OECD Guidance and UNGPs, to ensure meaningful and effective due diligence.

3. Ensure directors have responsibility and oversight of due diligence requirements, climate targets, and transition plans

While we welcome the intention with regards to directors' duty of care and oversight of due diligence processes, the language used is vague and does not provide sufficiently clear direction to lead to effective, harmonised duties throughout the EU.

The obligations on directors should be further specified in the directive so that they are responsible for ensuring the company implements adequate due diligence measures, and for approving the company's strategy including targets and transition plans. Without directors' responsibility for climate strategy and targets, business climate action will be insufficient to align with the EU Green Deal and Paris Agreement.

4. Strengthen the link between directors' variable remuneration and sustainability performance

By focussing only on climate change mitigation objectives, and companies where "variable remuneration is [already] linked to the contribution of a director to the company's business strategy and long-term interests and sustainability", the effectiveness of Article 15(3) is significantly diminished. It also disregards the importance of other sustainability factors, the interconnectedness between environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues and could lead to incoherencies with reporting under the CSRD.

Co-legislators should ensure the CSDD proposal clearly mandates the incorporation of sustainability factors into director's variable remuneration by removing the conditionality of Article 15(3). Boards should be given the discretion to select relevant E, S and/or G factors and the appropriate balance of these factors in the remuneration package. This would allow companies to focus on the sustainability metrics most material to them, including climate, while also enabling progress across different sustainability goals.

5. Strengthen requirements on transition plans and increase alignment with CSRD

Article 15 of the proposal requires very large companies to adopt a transition plan, but it does not provide a description on how this should be done, what the standards should be, and who is responsible for monitoring its implementation. Co-legislators should require undertakings in scope to set emission reduction targets and to meet specific actions and resource allocations to achieve such targets in order to align with the Paris Agreement. It must also be clarified that this plan should identify how to address Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions. This should be completely aligned with, and match the granularity of, the transition plan disclosures under the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS) (once finalised) to create a clear, comparable and enforceable approach. This amendment would help investors verify the feasibility/likelihood of anticipated future resilience and sustainability performance improvements, better engage companies and track progress.

Rob Fohr Monika Nachyła

Partner, Head of ESG Director of Faith-Based Investing and Corporate

Engagement Abris Capital Partners Limited

Committee on Mission Responsibility Through Investment of the Presbyterian

Troels Børrild Church U.S.A.

Head of Responsible Investments AkademikerPension

Chief Sustainability Officer

Francesco Schiavello de Pury Pictet Turrettini & Cie.

Head of investment Principles

Anima SGR Cesare Vitali

Head of ESG Research

Anna Maria Fibla Møller **Ecofi**

Head of ESG

AP Pension Simona Campioni

ESG Analyst

Dominique Habegger

Vaidehee Sachdev **EFG ASSET MANAGEMENT**

Social Lead

Aviva Investors Thom Williams

Executive Director

Éric Filion Employees' Retirement System of Hawai'i

CEO

Bâtirente Vincent Kaufmann

CEO

Lauren Compere **Ethos Foundation**

Managing Director

Boston Common Asset Management Ethos Engagement Pool International

Aargauische Pensionskasse (APK)

Silvia Scozia **Accenture Executive Pensionskasse**

Founding Partner, Head of Law & Policy ATISA Personalvorsorgestiftung der Tschümperlin-Unternehmungen

BST Impact AVENA - Fondation BCV 2e pilier

Bernische Lehrerversicherungskasse Marie Payne

Bernische Pensionskasse BPK

Responsible Investment Officer **BVG-Stiftung der SV Group**

Caisse Cantonale d'Assurance Populaire -

CCAP Mario Sanchez

Caisse de pension du Comité international President of the Supervisory Board

de la Croix-Rouge

CCOO, FP

Cardano ACTIAM

Caisse de pension Hewlett-Packard Plus Fondation de prévoyance des Paroisses et Institutions Catholiques (FPPIC) Caisse de pensions de l'Etat de Vaud (CPEV) Fondation de prévoyance du Groupe BNP Caisse de pensions du CERN PARIBAS en Suisse Caisse de pensions du personnel communal Fondation de Prévoyance Edmond de de Lausanne (CPCL) Rothschild Caisse de pensions ECA-RP Fondation de prévoyance professionnelle en Caisse de pensions Féd. int. des Stés. de la faveur de AROMED Croix-Rouge et du Croissant-Rouge Fondation de prévoyance Romande Energie Caisse de prév. des Fonctionnaires de Police Fondation Interprofessionnelle Sanitaire de & des Etablissements Pénitentiaires Prévoyance (FISP) Caisse de Prévoyance de l'Etat de Genève **Fondation Leenaards CPEG** Fondation Patrimonia Caisse de Prévoyance des Interprètes de Conférence (CPIC) Fondazione Ticinese per il secondo pilastro Fondo di Previdenza per il Personale Caisse de prévoyance du personnel de la Ville de Fribourg dell'Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale Caisse de prévoyance du personnel de l'Etat Fonds de Prévoyance de CA Indosuez de Fribourg (CPPEF) (Suisse) SA Caisse de prévoyance du personnel de l'Etat Fonds interprofessionnel de prévoyance du Valais (CPVAL) (FIP) Caisse de retraite professionnelle de Gebäudeversicherung Luzern l'industrie vaudoise de la construction Gebäudeversicherung St. Gallen Caisse intercommunale de pensions (CIP) **GEMINI Sammelstiftung** Caisse paritaire de prévoyance de l'industrie Liechtensteinische AHV-IV-FAK et de la construction (CPPIC) Loyalis BVG-Sammelstiftung **CAP Prévoyance** Luzerner Pensionskasse CAPUVA Caisse de prévoyance des Nest Sammelstiftung travailleurs et employeurs du commerce de détail Pensionskasse Römisch-katholische Landeskirche des Kantons Luzern Cassa pensioni di Lugano Pensionskasse AR CIEPP - Caisse Inter-Entreprises de Prévoyance Professionnelle Pensionskasse Bank CIC (Schweiz) CPCN - Caisse de pensions de la fonction Pensionskasse Basel-Stadt publique du canton de Neuchâtel Pensionskasse Bühler AG Uzwil **Etablissement Cantonal d'Assurance (ECA** Pensionskasse Caritas VAUD) Pensionskasse der Basler Kantonalbank Etablissement cantonal d'assurance et de prévention (ECAP- Neuchâtel) Pensionskasse der Diözese St.Gallen Evangelisch-reformierte Landeskirche des Pensionskasse der Schweizer Paraplegiker-Kantons Zürich Gruppe Nottwil Fondation de la métallurgie vaudoise du Pensionskasse der Schweizerischen

Nationalbank

Pensionskasse der Stadt Frauenfeld Pensionskasse der Stadt Weinfelden

bâtiment (FMVB)

Fondation de prévoyance Artes & Comoedia

Pensionskasse der Stadt Winterthur Verein Barmherzige Brüder von Maria-Hilf

Vorsorge SERTO

Handelsbanken Asset Management

(Schweiz) Pensionskasse der Stadt Zug

Pensionskasse der Technischen Verbände

SIA STV FSAI USIC

Pensionskasse des Kantons Nidwalden

Pensionskasse Pro Infirmis Annabel Vaananen Pensionskasse Schaffhausen Sustainability Analyst Pensionskasse SRG SSR **Flexstone Partners**

Pensionskasse Stadt Luzern

Pensionskasse Stadt St. Gallen **Emily Flood**

Pensionskasse Unia **Chief Impact Officer**

Pensionskasse von Krankenversicherungs-**Future Super**

Organisationen

Personalvorsorgekasse der Stadt Bern Aurora Samuelsson

Personalvorsorgestiftung der Accenture Head of Sustainability

Schweiz

Personalvorsorgestiftung der Würth-Gruppe

Schweiz

Samuel Jones previva, fonds de prévoyance des

professionnels du travail social President

Prévoyance Santé Valais (PRESV) **Heartland Initiative**

Profelia Fondation de prévoyance

Prosperita Stiftung für die berufliche **Investor Advocates for Social Justice**

President

Vorsorge

Raiffeisen Pensionskasse Genossenschaft

Michela Cocchi Rentes genevoises - Assurance pour la

vieillesse

Lady Lawyer Foundation RP - Fonds institutionnel

Secunda Sammelstiftung

Michela Cocchi Spida Personalvorsorgestiftung

President St. Galler Pensionskasse

Lady Lawyer Village Committee Stiftung Abendrot

Stiftung Auffangeinrichtung BVG

Cllr Doug McMurdo Stiftung Personalvorsorge Liechtenstein

Chair **SVA Zürich**

LAPFF Swissbroke Vorsorgestiftung

Symova Sammelstiftung BVG

Alice Chipot Terre des hommes Schweiz

CEO Unfallversicherungskasse des Basler

Staatspersonals

Le Regroupement pour la Responsabilité Sociale des Entreprises (RRSE) Université de Genève (UNIGE)

Carole Zaccheo

Chief Investment Officer

MAIF

Luan Jenifer Associate Director

CEO & President Seventh Generation Interfaith Coalition for

Region VI Coalition for Responsible

Investment

Christopher Cox

Dieter Waizenegger

Storebrand Asset Management

Miller/Howard Investments, Inc.

Hanna Roberts Executive Director

Senior Vice President, ESG Products

SOC Investment Group

Morningstar Sustainalytics

Kamil Zabielski Mari Schwartzer

Head of Sustainable Investments

Director of Shareholder Activism and

Engagement

NorthStar Asset Management, Inc.

Telefónica Employees' Pension Fund

Judy Byron

Director Tassos Stassopoulos

Northwest Coalition for Responsible

Managing Partner and CIO

Investment Trinetra Investment Management LLP

Kirstine Lund Christiansen Claire Berthier

Head of ESG, Senior Vice President CEO

P+, Pension for Academics Trusteam

Jaume Feliu Martín Kelly Hirsch
President of the Supervisory Board Head of ESG

PentaPensión Empresa Vancity Investment Management (VCIM)

Stefania Di Bartolomeo Marcela I. Pinilla

CEO Director of Sustainable Investing

Physis Investment Zevin Asset Management